United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

February 23, 2016
David J. Bradlev. Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MCALLEN DIVISION

GERARDO CRUZ	§
Plaintiff	§ §
VS.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 7:13-CV-458
OFFICER IBARRA, et al.,	§ §
Defendants	§ §

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

The Court has reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation regarding Plaintiff's civil rights complaint filed in conjunction with his application to proceed IFP. On January 26, 2016, the Magistrate Court issued the Report and Recommendation, recommending that Plaintiff's claims be dismissed without prejudice for want of prosecution. The time for filing objections has passed, and no objections have been filed.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b), the Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation for clear error. Finding no clear error, the Court adopts the Report and Recommendation in its entirety. Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's claims are **DISMISSED** without prejudice for want of prosecution.

SO ORDERED this 23rd day of February, 2016, at McAllen, Texas.

Randy Crane

United States District Judge

¹As noted by the Fifth Circuit, "[t]he advisory committee's note to Rule 72(b) states that, '[w]hen no timely objection is filed, the [district] court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Douglas v. United Services Auto. Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)(quoting Fed. R. Civ. P.72(b) advisory committee's note (1983)) *superceded by statute on other grounds by* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), *as stated in* ACS Recovery Servs., Inc v. Griffin, No. 11-40446, 2012 WL 1071216, at *7 n.5 (5th Cir. April 2, 2012).